

## SOME RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADAPTIVE TUNED VIBRATION ABSORBERS/NEUTRALISERS

**Michael J. Brennan**

Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire, UK, SO17 1BJ  
mjb@isvr.soton.ac.uk

**Abstract.** *The vibration absorber has been used for vibration control purposes in many sectors of engineering from aerospace, to automotive to civil, for the past 100 years or so. A limitation of the device, however, is that it acts like a notch filter, only being effective over a narrow band of frequencies. Recent developments have overcome this limitation by making it possible to tune the device over a range of frequencies. This has been achieved by incorporating a variable stiffness element that can be adjusted in real-time. In this paper, some ways in which stiffness change can be achieved in practice are reviewed and some examples of prototype adaptive tuned vibration absorbers (ATVAs) are described. A simple control scheme to automatically tune an ATVA is also presented.*

**Keywords:** *vibration, absorber, neutraliser, control*

### 1. Introduction

The tuned vibration absorber (TVA) has been used for many years since its inception by Ormondroyd and Den Hartog (1928). The device acts like a notch filter; it is required to have a very narrow bandwidth when it is tuned to suppress vibration at a troublesome forcing frequency and a larger bandwidth when it is used to suppress vibration at a troublesome resonance frequency. The concern in this paper is the former. The main disadvantage in using a TVA to control vibration is that it can actually increase vibration of the host structure if the forcing frequency drifts so that the natural frequency of the TVA no longer coincides with the forcing frequency. This can also occur if the natural frequency changes because of environmental factors such as temperature change. To overcome this Brennan (1997a) has designed a wideband absorber, but the disadvantage of this is that it requires the addition of mass for it to be effective. To avoid this, adaptive tuned vibration absorbers (ATVAs) have been developed. An overview of some of these devices is given in (Brennan, 2000), (Sun and Jolly, 1995), (von Flotow *et al*, 1994).

At the heart of an ATVA is a stiffness element, whose stiffness can be changed in real-time. Inevitably the stiffness element will have some structural damping and this will be a limiting factor in the performance of such a device, (Brennan, 1997b). The aim is to design a stiffness element that has low structural damping, whose stiffness can be adjusted quickly and easily with minimum power. In this paper some ways in which stiffness can be changed in practice are described and some examples of prototype ATVAs are presented. A simple control scheme to automatically tune an ATVA is also described

### 2. Review of variable stiffness mechanisms

One early variable stiffness element used in a vibration absorber was described by Longbottom *et al* (1990). A mass was sandwiched between a pair of pneumatic rubber bellows, and the stiffness was adjusted by changing the air pressure inside the bellows. Further work on this device by Long *et al* (1998) resulted in a simple means of automatically adjusting the stiffness. Franchek *et al* (1995) proposed a very simple way of changing stiffness by simply adjusting the number of active coils in a spring. Walsh and Lamancusa (1992) used a compound leaf spring for a stiffness element and adjusted the gap between the two beams. This had the advantage of having low damping and hence could potentially be more effective than the design described by Longbottom *et al* (1990). This design was modified by Brennan (2000), and developed by Kidner and Brennan (1999, 2001, 2002) with a system to control both stiffness and damping. This device involved a change in shape to control the stiffness, but the actuation mechanism was relatively slow to operate. A development on this theme was described by Bonello *et al* (2004), who controlled the curvature of beams using piezoelectric actuators to the stiffness elements of an ATVA. This device had a very quick response time, and is discussed later in this paper. Inspired by the mechanical linkage used in the flight mechanism of small insects described by Brennan *et al*, 2003, Bonello *et al*, (2004) designed an ATVA that had a wide frequency range of operation and required virtually no force to adjust the stiffness of the device. The disadvantage of the device, however, was that it required an actuator with a large stroke. More details of ATVAs that exploit shape change to change natural frequency are given in Section 3 of this paper.

A large-scale device where a mass is supported in the middle of a beam, and the length of the beam (which provides the stiffness element) is adjusted is described by Hong and Ryu (1985). A similar small-scale device has recently been used by Carneal *et al* (2004) to control sound radiation from a plate. Their device was particularly effective as the actuator (a stepper motor) was also used as the absorber mass. Novel devices using electromagnetic forces to counteract a passive stiffness have been described by Abu-Akeel (1967) and Waterman (1991). In this case the maximum passive stiffness and the electromagnetic actuator reduces the effective stiffness. More recently Hagood and von Flotow (1991), and Hollkamp (1994) have designed a vibration absorber that uses a piezoelectric element which is bonded to a

structure and connected to an inductor and a resistor to complete a resonant electrical circuit, which can be tuned to the appropriate frequency.

Recent work by Williams *et al* (2002) has used shape memory alloy as a variable stiffness element. The Young's modulus (and hence the stiffness) of the element is changed by adjusting the temperature of the alloy by passing an electrical current through it. A device similar to this has been developed by Rustighi *et al* (2005a) and is described in section 4 of this paper. Hirunyapruk, (2004), has demonstrated that an ATVA can be made using a fluid-filled beam. A magneto-rheological fluid was used in its pre-yield state, and the shear stiffness of the fluid was adjusted by changing the magnetic field applied to the fluid. This is described briefly in section 5 of this paper.

A control algorithm to automatically tuned the ATVA using the phase of the acceleration measured either side of the stiffness element is outlined in Section 5. This algorithm was based on the work of Long *et al* (1998), and was substantially modified by Rustighi *et al* (2005b) to take into account the nonlinearity of the control system; it also added damping to the controller to suppress control activity without penalising performance. This control algorithm was also applied to the ATVA developed by Bonello *et al* (2004, 2005), to test its agility with an ATVA that can change its stiffness rapidly.

### 3. ATVAs using a variable stiffness element realised by shape control

A simple way of designing an ATVA is to manufacture it from two beams that can be separated so that the stiffness is a function of the distance between the beams. Such a system is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. A beam-like vibration absorber where the stiffness is adjusted by moving the beams apart.

For the device depicted in Figure 1 the lowest natural frequency,  $\omega_l$ , occurs when the distance between the beams where they attach to the mechanism,  $h$ , is zero. The ratio of the natural frequency of the device,  $\omega_n$ , to the lowest natural frequency is given by

$$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_l} = 1 + \frac{3}{8} \frac{h}{d} \quad (1)$$

where  $d$  is the thickness of an individual beam and  $h < d$  (Brennan, 2000). It can be seen that this design is attractive in that only a relatively small movement is required to achieve a reasonable change in natural frequency. Kidner and Brennan (2001, 2002) modified this design using two perspex beams that were connected by tape at the ends and were allowed to bend in the centre as shown in Figure 2. The corresponding change in natural frequency is given by

$$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_l} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{h}{d} \quad (2)$$

A stepper motor was used to drive the beams apart, resulting in a change in natural frequency of 35% (100-135 Hz)




Figure 2. Experimental beam-like ATVA.

The piezoelectric elements fitted to the beams shown in Figure 2 were incorporated into a separate control system. They excited the beams dynamically at the operational frequency of the ATVA to counteract the effects of passive

damping in the device in a way described by Kidner and Brennan (1999). This was done to improve the effectiveness of the ATVA. Although the ATVA in Figure 2 was effective, the stiffness change was sluggish due to the relatively slow response of the stepper motor.

Another design of ATVA that exploits shape change is shown in Figure 3. The stiffness element consists of two identical curved beams and the stiffness is varied by adjusting the curvature of each beam. This is relatively easy to do because the ends are pivoted and are free to move in the vertical direction. To achieve this, a layer of piezoelectric ceramic is bonded to each beam and the dc voltage level applied to these actuators is adjusted. Such a simple arrangement has the advantage of not having redundant mass introduced by an actuator.




Figure 3. ATVA with stiffness element formed from parallel curved beams. As the beams straighten, the natural frequency increases

Consider one beam from the ATVA shown on its side for convenience in Figure 4(a). It is pivoted at each end and has an initial curvature in the form of a circular arc of length  $S$  subtending an angle  $\alpha$  at the centre. The beam is subjected to the compressive load  $p$  along its span and deforms as shown in Figure 4(b).




Figure 4. Curved beam loaded along its span

Using Castigliano's theorem, assuming the beam is slender and considering bending deformation only, neglecting any deformation along the curved longitudinal axis of the beam ( $S$  constant), the non-dimensional static stiffness is approximately given by (Bonello *et al*, 2005):

$$\tilde{k} = \frac{p/u}{p_E/S} \approx \frac{2}{\pi^2 (H/S)^2} \quad (3)$$

where  $p_E = \pi^2 EI/S^2$  is the Euler buckling load of a straight beam of the same length  $S$ , Young's Modulus  $E$  and second moment of area of cross-section  $I$  as the beam. The natural frequency of the ATVA in Figure 3 is proportional

to  $\sqrt{k}$ , and so it is approximately inversely proportional to the crown height  $H$  of the beams. The curvature of the beams in the ATVA pictured in Figure 3 can be adjusted by using a piezoelectric actuator fitted to each beam. In a prototype device pictured in Figure 5, which had a lower natural frequency of 36 Hz, the natural frequency could be adjusted by about 56% to 56 Hz with a dc voltage between -280V to +480V applied to the piezoelectric actuators.




Figure 5. Prototype ATVA using curved beams as a variable stiffness element.

The prototype ATVA depicted in Figure 5 was placed on a shaker and excited with random vibration and its natural frequency measured as the dc voltage applied to the device was adjusted. The predicted and measured relationship between the natural (tuned) frequency and the applied dc voltage is shown in Figure 6. In the predictions the inertia of the curved beams was neglected. This affects the upper frequency limit of the device and is the cause of the deviation between the predicted and the measured results.






Figure 6. Tuning characteristic for the prototype ATVA shown in Figure 5

The ATVA based on curved beams has the disadvantage that the actuators have to act against the stiffness required for the device to have a reasonably high natural frequency. This means that they have to have the capability to generate large forces, and in the case when piezoelectric actuators are used, high voltages are required. To overcome this problem it is possible to decouple the stiffness required for a high natural frequency and the stiffness that the actuators have to act against to change the natural frequency. An example of such a device is shown in Figure 7, where Figure 7a shows a diagram of the ATVA and Figure 7b is a photograph of a prototype. When the mass moves in the vertical direction, the cantilever beams bend laterally, and the effective stiffness for small vertical motion of the mass is given by (Brennan *et al.*, 2003)

$$\frac{k_{\text{effective}}}{k} \approx 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{(b/L)^2} - 1 \right\} \quad (4)$$



(a) diagram of the ATVA



(b) photograph of the prototype

Figure 7. Alternative design of an ATVA using shape change to realise a variable stiffness element

Consequently by adjusting the distance  $b$  using a suitable mechanism the effective stiffness and hence natural frequency can be adjusted. Care has to be taken in the design of the device so that “snap through” does not occur. The actuating mechanism in Figure 7a is a redundant mass that degrades the vibration attenuation. A better configuration would be to invert the device so that the actuator is now part of the effective mass of the device. The tuning characteristic of the ATVA in Figure 7 is shown in Figure 8, giving the percentage increase in the natural (tuned) frequency from a nominal configuration. The operational frequency range for the device is limited to frequencies for which inertia effects of the components forming the linkage are negligible.




Figure 7. Tuning characteristic for the prototype ATVA shown in Figure 6

#### 4. ATVA using a shape memory alloy stiffness element

Rather than change stiffness by shape change, another approach is to change material property. One way of doing this is to use shape memory alloy in a beam-like configuration as discussed by Williams *et al* (2002) and Rustighi *et al* (2005a). The device described by Rustighi *et al* is shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8. Prototype shape memory alloy ATVA

The device consists of two SMA wires and is connected to a host structure at its centre. Shape memory alloy has a low stiffness when it is in its martensitic state and a high stiffness when it is in its austenitic state. To transit from one state to the other requires a change in temperature, which is achieved by passing a current through the wires. The stiffness of the wire as a function of temperature is shown graphically in Figure 9. It can be seen that there is hysteresis in the SMA wire (about 10°C for the NiTiInol wire used in the prototype depicted in Figure 8) and any control system employed to control the natural frequency of an SMA ATVA has to take account of this behaviour.




Figure 9. Young's modulus of NiTiInol SMA wire as a function of temperature.  $E_a$  and  $E_m$  denote the Young's modulus of the wire in its austenitic and martensitic states respectively. For the wire used in the prototype depicted in Figure 8, the Young's modulus changed from 40 to 59 MPa.

The SMA ATVA was tested by placing it onto a shaker, as shown in Figure 10, and measuring the mechanical impedance (applied force/resulting velocity) using a PCB impedance head type D288 D01. The SMA ATVA was excited over a range of frequencies as the temperature of the SMA was incrementally increased from 20°C to 100°C so the SMA wires changed their stiffness. A peak in the mechanical impedance denotes the natural frequency of the device. A similar experiment was conducted during the transition from hot to cold. The results of these experiments are shown in Figure 11.






Figure 10. Experimental set-up to measure the dynamic characteristics of an SMA ATVA.





(a) schematic



(b) experimental setup to verify the proof of concept

Figure 12. A tunable fluid-filled beam ATVA

To demonstrate that control of the shear stiffness of a sandwich beam is an effective way of implementing an ATVA an experiment was setup as shown in Figure 12b. The acceleration (resulting acceleration/applied force) of the ATVA was measured using PCB impedance head type D288 D01 over a range of frequencies. A *trough* in the measured acceleration denotes the natural frequency of the ATVA (as opposed to the peak in the impedance in the previous section). To determine the natural frequency of the device with no magnetic field applied the permanent magnets were replaced by brass pieces of the same mass. The experimental results are shown in Figure 13. It can be seen that a change in natural frequency of about 37% was achieved.




Figure 13. Measured accelerance of the tunable fluid-filled beam ATVA



## 6. A controller for an ATVA

For the purpose of control, an ATVA can be considered to be a single degree of freedom system such as that shown in Figure 14a. When it is tuned, the natural frequency of the device  $\omega_n$  is adjusted so that it is the same as the forcing frequency  $\omega$ . When this condition occurs the phase between the acceleration of the absorber mass and the host structure is very close to  $90^\circ$  as shown in Figure 14b. Thus, a control system has to measure the phase of the acceleration of the host structure and the ATVA mass and then adjust the stiffness until the phase between these two accelerations is  $90^\circ$ . This strategy was first proposed by Long *et al* (1998) and subsequently modified by Rustighi *et al* (2005b) who applied it to the SMA ATVA in Figure 8. Bonello *et al* (2005) also applied it to the much more agile ATVA shown in Figure 5 and the controller performance with this device is discussed later.




Figure 14. Schematic of an ATVA on a structure and the phase relationship between the acceleration of the host structure and the ATVA mass.

The phase angle can be determined from the measured accelerations by

$$\phi = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \ddot{x}\ddot{y} dt}{|\ddot{X}||\ddot{Y}|} \right) \quad (5)$$

A simple proportional controller is not effective in this application because of the non-linear characteristic of the phase. It is desirable for the controller to make large adjustments to the stiffness when the natural frequency of the ATVA is very different from the forcing frequency, and to make small adjustments when the difference between these two quantities is small. Thus a suitable discrete time control law has been found to be

$$V_{n+1} = V_n - \left[ P(\phi_n + \phi_n^3 + \phi_n^5) + Dd_n \right] \quad (6)$$

where  $V_n$  is the control signal at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  time step,  $d_n$  is the derivative of the phase angle at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  time step and  $P$  and  $D$  are constants which need to be determined empirically for each type of ATVA (This can be done either by simulation or experiment). The step size is also dependent upon the application and the type of ATVA. Some experimental results are presented in Figure 15 for the ATVA shown in Figure 5. The ATVA was placed on a large shaker and subjected to the frequency sweep shown in Figure 15a. This was a rapid sweep of 7 Hz/s from 38 Hz to 52 Hz. Figure 15b shows the acceleration of the shaker mass (host structure) with the control system switched off. The low vibration level at about 6 seconds is when the forcing frequency is coincident with the natural frequency of the ATVA. The cosine of the phase angle is depicted in Figure 15c. It can be seen that when the controller is switched off, this is zero when the vibration of the host structure is at its minimum at about 6 seconds. Figure 15d shows the vibration of the host structure when the controller is switched on and subject to the frequency sweep depicted in Figure 15a. It can be seen that the ATVA is effective at maintaining a low level of vibration of the host structure during and after the frequency sweep. The control activity and effort to achieve this can be seen in Figures 15c and e respectively.




Figure 15. Experimental results for variable frequency harmonic excitation. (a) frequency variation; (b) vibration  $\ddot{x}$  without control, piezo-actuators at 0 V; (c) cosine of  $\phi$  ; (d) controlled vibration  $\ddot{x}$  ; (e) variation of controlled voltage applied to piezo-actuators; for control results  $P = 4 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $D = 8 \times 10^{-3}$ .

## 7. Conclusions

This paper has described some recent developments in adaptive tuned vibration absorbers (ATVAs). Most devices of this type are realised by using a variable stiffness element, and several ways of achieving this in practice have been presented. These include shape change, material property change using shape memory alloy and a tunable beam filled with magneto-rheological fluid. A way of automatically tuning the devices has also been briefly presented. There is not a single “best” way of making an ATVA. It depends upon the required frequency range, the agility (speed of reaction) and cost. The various devices described in this paper should be viewed as prototype or proof-of-concept rather than refined devices, but they have demonstrated that “smart materials” can be incorporated into ATVAs as variable stiffness elements.

## 8. Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge Dr Mike Kidner, Dr Emiliano Rustighi, Dr Philip Bonello, Chompoonoot Hirunyapruk, Professor Brian Mace and Professor Steve Elliott who helped to produce the results presented in this paper.

## 9. References

- Abu-Akeel, A.K., 1967, “The electrodynamic vibration absorber.” *Journal of Engineering for Industry*, 741-748.
- Bonello, P., Brennan, M.J. and Elliott, S.J., 2004, “Vibration control using a tunable vibration absorber with a variable shape stiffness element.” *Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics*, Vol 26 Pt 2, 534-545.
- Bonello, P., Brennan, M.J. and Elliott, S.J., 2005, “Vibration control using an adaptive tuned vibration absorber with a variable curvature stiffness element.” Submitted to *Smart Materials and Structures*.
- Brennan, M.J., 1997a, “Characteristics of a wideband vibration neutraliser.” *Noise Control Engineering Journal*. **45**(5), 1-8.
- Brennan, M.J., 1997b, “Vibration control using a tunable vibration neutraliser.” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* **211**, 91-108.
- Brennan, M.J., 2000, “Actuators for active control – tunable resonant devices.” *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, **5**(1), 63-74.
- Brennan, M.J., Elliott, S.J., Bonello, P. and Vincent, J.F.V., 2003, “The “click” mechanism in dipteran flight: If it exists, then what effect does it have?” *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. **224**, 205-213.
- Carneal, J.P., Charette, F. and Fuller, C.R., 2004, “Minimization of sound radiation from a plate using adaptive tuned vibration absorbers.” *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (in press).
- Franchek, M.A., Ryan, M.W. and Bernhard, R.J., 1995 “Adaptive-passive vibration control,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **189**(5), 565-585.
- Hagood, N.W. and von Flotow, A.H., 1991, “Damping of structural vibrations with piezoelectric materials and passive electric networks.” *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **146**(2), 243-268.
- Hollkamp, J.J., 1994, “Multimodal passive vibration suppression with piezoelectric materials and resonant shunts.” *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures* **5**, 49-57.
- Hirunyapruk, 2004. “Vibration control using a tunable fluid vibration absorber” MSc dissertation, ISVR, University of Southampton.
- Hong, D.P. and Ryu, Y.S., 1985. “Automatically controlled vibration absorber.” US Patent No. 4935651.
- Kidner, M.R.F. and Brennan, M.J., 1999 “Improving the performance of a vibration neutraliser by actively removing damping.” *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **221**(4), 587-606.
- Kidner, M.R.F. and Brennan, M.J., 2001 “Real-time control of both stiffness and damping in an active vibration neutraliser.” *Smart Materials and Structures* **10**, 758-769.
- Kidner, M.R.F. and Brennan, M.J., 2002. “Variable stiffness of a beam-like neutraliser under fuzzy logic control.” *Transactions of the ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics* **124**, 90-99.
- Longbottom, C.J., Day, M.J. and Rider, E., 1990 “A self tuning vibration absorber.” UK Patent No. GB 218957B.
- Long, T., Brennan, M.J. and Elliott, S.J., 1998, “Design of smart machinery installations to reduce transmitted vibrations by adaptive modification of internal forces.” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineering, Journal of Systems and Control Engineering* **212**(13), 215-228.
- Ormondroyd, J. and den Hartog, J.P., 1928, “Theory of the dynamic absorber.” *Transactions of the ASME* **50**, 9-22.
- Rustighi, E., Brennan M.J., and Mace, B.R., 2005a, “A shape memory alloy adaptive vibration absorber: design and implementation.” *Smart Materials and Structures*, **14**, 19-28.
- Rustighi, E., Brennan, M.J. and Mace, B.R., 2005b “Real-time control of a shape memory alloy adaptive vibration absorber. Submitted to *Smart Materials and Structures*.”
- Sun, J.Q. and Jolly, M.R., 1995, “Passive, adaptive and active tuned vibration absorbers.” *Transactions of the ASME, Journal of Mechanical Design*, 234-242.
- von Flotow, A.H., Beard, A.H. and Bailey, D., 1994, “Adaptive tuned vibration absorbers: tuning laws, tracking agility, sizing and physical implementation.” *Proceedings of Noise-Con* **94**, 81-101.

- Walsh, P.L. and Lamancusa, J.S., 1992, "A variable stiffness vibration absorber for the minimization of transient vibrations." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **158**(2), 195-211.
- Waterman, H., 1991, "Vibration absorber with a controllable frequency." US Patent No. 4724923.
- Williams, K.A., Chui, G. and Bernhard, R.J., 2002, "Adaptive-passive absorber using shape-memory alloys." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **249**(5), 835-848.

**10. Responsibility notice**

The author is the only person responsible for the printed material in this paper.