MODELING AND SIMULATION OF CONTINUOUS FLOW GRAIN DRYERS

Oleg Khatchatourian, olegkha@unijui.edu.br

Department of Physics, Statistics and Mathematics, Regional University of the Northwest, Rio Grande do Sul, R. São Francisco, 501, 98700-000, IJUÍ RS, Brazil

Horácio A. Vielmo, vielmoh@mecanica.ufrgs.br

UFRGS - Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Rua Sarmento Leite, 425, 90050-170, PORTO ALEGRE-RS-BRAZIL

Flávio Heming, flavio.heming@terra.com.br

Luís A. Bortolaia, luis.bortolaia@unijui.edu.br

Regional University of the Northwest, Rio Grande do Sul, R. São Francisco, 501, 98700-000, IJUÍ RS, Brazil

Abstract. Mathematical model, algorithm and a computer program were developed to simulate the performance of continuous flow grain dryers and continuous flow dryers with energy saving. The mass and heat transfer processes were described by a system of four non-linear partial differential equations. This system was solved by the MacCormack method. Methods of Neumann and Matrix (considering the border conditions) were used for analysis of the convergence. The source-terms in these equations were defined by auxiliary semi-empirical equations, which were obtained by experimental data in the thin layer. Equipment developed to obtain these data permitted to vary initial air humidity, temperature and velocity. In order to validate the model, the experiments were conducted in fixed bed. Simulations were made for various schemes of continuous flow dryers, including energy saving scheme with recycling the air for cooling and for drying of grain. To determine the initial conditions at the entrance to each section of dryer the iterative process was used. The computer simulations permitted to evaluate energy efficiency of each scheme, the duration of the drying process for geometry and initial moisture content of grains chosen.

Keywords: Grain drying, Mathematical model, Heat and mass transfer coefficients, energy saving scheme

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to a humid climate during harvesting of soybean the moisture content of seed is very high, up to 24-28 % dry basis, (d.b.). Therefore practically all soybean crop before the beginning of storage is exposed to process of drying. Considering immense volumes of a crop, even minor improvement and acceleration of drying process gives significant economic benefit.

To design dryers and develop efficient grain drying process the mathematical modeling and computer simulation are widely used (Courtois *et.al.*, 1991, França *et. al.*, 1994). There are various mathematical models to describe the drying process. These models consider the heat and mass transfer between grain and air, the heat and moisture transfer inside of grain, a deviation from equilibrium state between grain and drying air, variation of physical properties of air, vapor and grains with temperature and humidity variation (Luikov, 1966, Laws and Parry, 1983, Parry, 1985, Khatchatourian *et.al.*, 2003).

Generally these models represent a system of the energy and moisture transfer differential equations for an individual grain located in a layer, the heat and mass transfer differential equations for a surface of a grain, where there is a contact of air and grain, and the energy and mass conservation equations of the humid air (Brooker *et. al.*, 1982, Khatchatourian and Oliveira, 2006). Nonlinearity of these equations does not allow to receive analytical solution for interesting applied cases. Used numerical methods (finite difference method, finite element method, etc.) represent integration domain (drying camera) as the subdomains set in which for a finding of any parameter during each moment of time is selected a simplified interpolation equation (usually linear or square-law). Because of subdomain sizes smallness the parameters change inside subdomain is insignificant; therefore for calculation of the local mass flow and heat flow densities the approach of a thin-layer drying model can be used (Jayas *et. al.* 1991, Parti, 1993). Thus, the goodness of thin-layer drying models essentially defines the simulation results for bulk drying.

This paper is dedicated to modeling of continuous flow grain dryers, which widely used for drying of soybeans in the Rio Grande do Sul State (Figure 1).

Space dimension and boundary conditions of problem depends on the chosen drying scheme. The use of exhaust air increases system effectiveness but at the same time leads to an increase in air humidity for drying. To properly evaluate the effectiveness of these conditions is very important to know a wide range of influence of the initial air humidity on the dynamics of drying.

The principal objectives of the present work are:

a) to create a mathematical model of drying of grains;

b) to develop a software for simulation of the continuous flow dryer with multiple stages;

c) to carry out simulations to evaluate the efficiency of some schemes dryers.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Figure 1 presents one of the investigated schemes of continuous flow grain dryers with 3 drying stages and cooling chamber. Ambient air passes through the cooling chamber, heats, then mixed with the heated furnace air and this mixture A enters in 3rd stage. Air leaving the third stage, mixes up with the heated furnace air and the obtained mixture B enters in 2nd stage. Similarly, the mixture C enters in 1st stage, heats up and dries the grain entering from above in 1st stage and then used air is thrown out in atmosphere.



Figure 1. Layout of continuous flow grain dryer with 3 drying stage and cooling chamber.

In summary, crossflow drying model for these conditions is:

$$\left[\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial X}{\partial y} = -\frac{\Phi_m a}{\rho_g} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial y} = -\frac{a \left\{ \Phi_h + \Phi_m \left[H_v + \left(C_{pv} - C_{pw} \right) T_g \right] \right\}}{\rho_g (C_{pg} + X C_{pw})}$$

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y} = \frac{\Phi_m a \left(1 - \varepsilon\right)}{\rho_a \varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial y} = \frac{a(1 - \varepsilon)}{\rho_a \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\Phi_m C_{pv} (T_g - T_a) + \Phi_h}{C_{pa} + C_{pv} Y}$$
(1)

where X is the moisture content; Y is the air humidity; a is grain surface area/volume ratio (m⁻¹); H_v is latent heat of the water vaporization (J·kg⁻¹); C_{pg} is the specific heat of the grain (J·kg⁻¹·°C⁻¹); C_{pv} is the specific heat of the water's vapor (J·kg⁻¹·°C⁻¹); C_{pw} is the specific heat of the water (J·kg⁻¹·°C⁻¹); ρ_g is the specific mass of the grain (kg·m⁻³); ρ_a is specific mass of the air (kg·m⁻³); ϵ is porosity; V_x is the air velocity (m·s⁻¹); V_y is the grain velocity (m·s⁻¹); T_a is the air temperature (°C); T_g is the grain temperature (°C); Φ_h is the heat flux (kg·m⁻²·s⁻¹); Φ_m is the mass flux (kg·m⁻²·s⁻¹).

As experimental study showed, the diffusion coefficient has variable value in a radial direction. Therefore in this work the two-layer grain model has been chosen. The mathematical model is presented by system of two ordinary differential equations (Khatchatourian *et. al.*, 2009):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_1}{dt} = -k_1 (X_1 - X_2); \\ \frac{dX_2}{dt} = -k_1 (X_2 - X_1) - q \cdot k_2 (X_{g0} - X_e)^{n-1} X_2^n \end{cases}$$
(2)

where X_1 and X_2 are average relative grain humidities in 1th and 2nd grain compartments respectively, k_1 and k_2 are proportionality coefficients, *t* is time in *s*, *n* is constant, *q* is factor related with velocity influence.

Obviously, k_1 is related with a diffusion coefficient in 1-st compartment and k_2 unites the effects of diffusion in 2-st compartment and convective transfer on a surface of grain.

Second equation in system (2) presents the influence of drying rate from: a) initial moisture content X_{g0} and, b) air humidity through equilibrium moisture content X_e . Applying the inverse problem method, the coefficients k_1 and k_2 were obtained for different initial moisture contents and temperatures at same velocity $V_x=0.9$ m/s (q=1). As experimental data show the coefficients k_1 and k_2 depend on temperature. The influence of initial moisture content on k_1 and k_2 can be neglected. To consider the velocity influence on drying, the coefficient k_2 was multiplied by factor:

$$q = \frac{2.3306}{1 + e^{-2V_x}} - 1 \tag{3}$$

that is equal to 1 when $V_x=0.9$ m/s (basic velocity).

The initial and boundary conditions for each stage depend on the parameters in the output of the previous stage located immediately above. Furthermore the inlet air humidity depends on the amount of air recirculation. In other words, these conditions depend on the number of stages and the scheme of distribution and recirculation of air.

In this work four schemes of dryers were studied (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Investigated dryer outlines

For scheme 1 (Figure 2) the initial and boundary conditions for stage *i* are described as follows: The boundary conditions:

 $Xg_1(t, x, 0) = Xg0 (0.19 \text{ b.u.}); Tg_1(t, x, 0) = Tg0 (20^{\circ}\text{C}); T_1(t, 0, y) = Ta_1 (120^{\circ}\text{C}); T_2(t, 0, y) = Ta_2 (100^{\circ}\text{C}); T_3(t, 0, y) = Ta_3 (80^{\circ}\text{C}).$

The boundary conditions for variables $Xg_2(t, x, H_1)$, $Tg_2(t, x, H_1)$, $Xg_3(t, x, H_1+H_2)$, $Tg_3(t, x, H_1+H_2)$, $Xg_c(t, x, H_1+H_2+H_3)$, $Tg_c(t, x, H_1+H_2+H_3)$, $Tg_c(t, x, H_1+H_2+H_3)$, Ya_1 , Ya_2 , Ya_c are determined during the calculations by an iterative process.

For scheme 1 the values of the parameters were taken following: H_1 =4.16 m; H_2 =3.4 m; H_3 =2.9 m; L=0.167 m; air velocity V_x =0.164 m/s.

The initial conditions:

 $Xg_i(0, x, y)=Xg0; Tg_i(0, x, y)=Tg0; Ya_i(0, x, y)=Ya0; Ta_i(0, x, y)=Tg0 (i=1, 2, 3, c).$

3. SOLUTION OF THE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

The system (hyperbolic) of partial differential quase-linear equations was rewritten in the matrix form:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = F(t, x, y, U)$$
(4)

where $U = (Xg Tg Ya Ta)^T$.

To solve the system used a 2-D MacCormack's method with scheme "time-split" (MacCormack, 1971).

The iterative MacCormack's method with the "time-split" transforms the two-dimensional problem in onedimensional problems. Considering the differential operator L_x , related to the spatial variable x:

$$U_{i,j}^* = L_x(\Delta t_x)U_{i,j}^n \tag{5}$$

the MacCormack's method (1969, 1971) corresponds to the sequence of operations: Predictor:

$$\overline{U_{i,j}^{*}} = U_{i,j}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t_{x} \cdot V_{x}}{\Delta x} \left[U_{i+1,j}^{n} - U_{i,j}^{n} \right] + \Delta t_{x} F_{i,j}^{n}$$
(6)

Proceedings of COBEM 2011 Copyright © 2011 by ABCM

Corrector:

$$U_{i,j}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \left[U_{i,j}^{n} + \overline{U_{i,j}^{*}} - \frac{\Delta t_{x} \cdot V_{x}}{\Delta x} \left(\overline{U_{i,j}^{*}} - \overline{U_{i-1,j}^{*}} \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta t_{x} \overline{F_{i,j}^{*}}}{2}$$
(7)

The asterisk (*) was used to denote the parameters with intermediate time steps.

 L_y operator is defined similarly substituting V_x and t_x by V_y and t_y . The MacCormack's method with the "time-split" can be presented as:

$$U_{i,j}^{n+1} = L_x \left(\frac{\Delta t_x}{2}\right) L_y \left(\Delta t_y\right) L_x \left(\frac{\Delta t_x}{2}\right) U_{i,j}^n \tag{8}$$

Assuming V_x and V_y as constants, the operator L_x for half the time step and the derivatives with respect to x, is composed of:

Predictor:

$$\overline{U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}} = U_{i,j}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t_{x} V x}{2\Delta x} \left[U_{i+1,j}^{n} - U_{i,j}^{n} \right] + \frac{\Delta t_{x}}{2} F_{i,j}^{n}$$
(9)

Corrector:

$$U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[U_{i,j}^{n} + \overline{U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\Delta t_{x} V_{x}}{2\Delta x} \left(\overline{U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}} - \overline{U_{i-1,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta t_{x} F_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$$
(10)

The operator L_y for full time step and the derivatives with respect to y, is formed: Predictor:

$$\overline{U_{i,j}^{**}} = U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\Delta t_y V_y}{\Delta y} \left[U_{i,j+1}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}} - U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}} \right] + \Delta t_y F_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(11)

Corrector:

$$U_{i,j}^{**} = \frac{1}{2} \left[U_{i,j}^{*n+\frac{1}{2}} + \overline{U_{i,j}^{**}} - \frac{\Delta t_y V_y}{\Delta y} \left(\overline{U_{i,j}^{**}} - \overline{U_{i,j-1}^{**}} \right) \right] + \Delta t_y \overline{F_{i,j}^{**}}$$
(12)

And again the operator L_x : Predictor:

$$\overline{U_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} = U_{i,j}^{**} - \frac{\Delta t_x V_x}{2\Delta x} \left[U_{i+1,j}^{**} - U_{i,j}^{**} \right] + \frac{\Delta t_x}{2} F_{i,j}^{**}$$
(13)

Corrector:

$$U_{i,j}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[U_{i,j}^{**} + \overline{U_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\Delta t_x V_x}{2\Delta x} \left(\overline{U_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} - \overline{U_{i-1,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta t_x \overline{F_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}}{2}$$
(14)

Two iterative processes were used for simulation: the first (internal) was used to determine the boundary conditions between stages and air humidity in the side entrance to each stage (which depends on the composition of the air furnace + fresh air + air recirculation). The second iterative process (external) was applied to calculate the drying time to achieve the required average moisture content of grain in the dryer outlet (13% w.b.), i.e., to calculate the grain velocity, V_{y} .

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulation results of Scheme 1 are shown in Figures 3-6.

It can be seen (Figure 3), the drying process in the initial (x / L = 1) and final (x / L = 0) sections occurs the most rapidly. In the middle section (x / L = 0.5) drying curve lags behind the average.

Air and grain temperatures in the different cross-sections (Figures 4 and 5) differ significantly in only 1st stage. For subsequent stages the temperature difference decreases.



Figure 3. Distribution of moisture content of grain in the dryer 1 at a steady state.



Figure 4. Distribution of grain temperature in the dryer 1 at a steady state.

Humidity at the outlet of 1st stage varies significantly in height (Figure 6). For subsequent stages this difference is not very large. The most moist air for drying enters to 1st stage, because this air contains all the moisture received from the grain in 2nd and 3rd stages. It was admitted that grain moisture and air humidity do not change inside the cooling chamber.



Figure 5. Distribution of air temperature in the dryer 1 at a steady state.



Figure 6. Distribution of air humidity in the dryer 1 at a steady state.

The simulations showed (Figures 7 and 8) that the reversing of flow direction equalizes the distribution of parameters in the transverse dryer direction and accelerates the drying process a little. The recirculation of air in the system increases the humidity of the drying air and for cases considered slightly increases the drying time. Simultaneously, reutilization of heat, obtained from grain mass in the earlier drying stages, in this case saves up to 40% of fuel (this value depends on the chosen scheme of the dryer).



Figure 7. Comparison of fuel saving because of the air recirculation for Outlines 1 and 2.



Figure 8. Comparison of fuel saving because of the air recirculation for Outlines 3 and 4.

5. CONCLUSION

The mathematical model, algorithm and computer program for simulation of the continuous flow dryer with the system of recycling of hot air were developed.

The iterative MacCormack's method with scheme "time-split" which transformed the two-dimensional problem in one-dimensional problems was successfully applied for solution of system of non-linear partial differential equations.

The simulations for four dryer outlines showed a good performance of the developed program.

The dryer's efficiency significantly depends from reuse of the heated air.

Average fuel savings for the cases considered were between 30-40% depending on the chosen scheme.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and second authors are grateful to CNPq for research grants (process N° 313706/2009-3 e N° 475237/2009-9).

7. REFERENCES

Brooker D.B., Bakker-Arkema F.W. and Hall C.W., 1982, "Drying Cereal Grains", AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.

Courtois, F., Lebert, A., Lasseran, J.C. and Bimbenet, J.J., 1991, "Simulation of Industrial Dryers: Solving Numerical and Computer Problems", Drying Technology, Vol. 9, No 4, pp. 927-945.

França, A.S., Fortes, M. and Haghighi, K., 1994, "Numerical Simulation of Intermittent and Continuous Deep-bed Drying of Biological Materials", Drying Technology, Vol.12, No 7, pp. 1537-1560.

Jayas, D.S., Cenkowski, S., Pabis, S., and Muir, W.E., 1991, "Review of thin-layer drying and wetting equations", Drying Technology, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 551-588.

Khatchatourian, O.A. and Oliveira, F.A. de, 2006, "Mathematical modelling of airflow and thermal state in large aerated grain storage", Biosystems Engineering, Vol. 95, No. 2, pp. 159 - 169.

Khatchatourian, O.A., Borges, P.A. P. and Vielmo, H. A., 2003, "Simulation of Soy Grains Drying Dynamics in Camera of Fixed Bed", Proceeding of the 17th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, São Paulo, Brazil, pp. 1-10.

Khatchatourian, O.A., Oliveira, F.A. de, Vielmo, H.A. and Bortolaia, L.A., 2009, "Soybean drying in thin-layer: experimental and theoretical study", Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, Gramado, Brazil, pp. 1-10.

Maccormack, R.W., "Numerical solutions of the interaction of a shock wave with a laminar boundary layer" – Roc. Second Int. Conf. Num. Methods Fluid Dyn, Lecture Notes in Physics, New York, p. 151-163, 1971.

MacCormack, R.W, 1969, "The Effect of Viscosity in Hypervelocity Impact Cratering", AIAA, Vol.9 p.354, Cincinati, Ohie.

Luikov, A. V., 1966, "Heat and mass transfer capillary-porous bodies", Pergamon, New York.

Parry, J. L., 1985, "Mathematical modeling and computer simulation of heat and mass transfer in agricultural grain drying: a review", Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 1-29.

Parti, M., 1993, "Selection of mathematical models for drying grain in thin-layers", Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, Vol. 54, pp. 339-352.

Laws, N., Parry, J.L., 1983, "Mathematical Modeling of Heat and Mass Transfer in Agricultural Grain Drying", Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A., Vol.385, pp. 169-187.

8. RESPONSIBILITY NOTICE

The authors are the only responsible for the printed material included in this paper.